



Context	Data period	Leeds	Inner East	Highest	Lowest
Number of children and young people 0-19	July 2015	172,512	25,756	25,756	10,006
Percentage of children and young people	July 2015	n/a	14.9%	14.9%	5.8%
Free school eligibility - primary schools	2014/15 AY	19.9%	33.4%	33.4%	6.5%
Free school meal eligibility - secondary schools	2014/15 AY	18.5%	31.9%	31.9%	5.7%

The Inner East contains 14.9 per cent of the Leeds under 19 population (a greater share than any other community committee), an estimated 25,756 children and young people. Free school meal entitlement is 13.5 percentage points above city levels at 33.4 per cent for primary school pupils, and 31.9 per cent for secondary school pupils. These are the highest eligibility levels in the city indicating the high level of need that is also reflected in the data below.

At the end of December 2015 the number of children looked after who come from the Inner East area was 322 (a quarter of the city's children looked after cohort), 50 fewer than the previous December. Child protection numbers remain stable at 119 (20.9 per cent of the overall cohort). The Inner East has a significantly greater proportion of both the looked after and child protection cohorts when compared to the share of overall child population. There was an increase in the number of child protection and safeguarding contacts being made to the council's Duty and Advice Team (child protection front door). More individuals and organisations are seeking advice and information at the front door, with helpful support being provided without the need for social work involvement. The number of contacts that then became a referral to social care fell, suggesting no increase in need; this is consistent with city-wide patterns.

In 2015, 50.3 per cent of five year-olds living in the area reached what is known as a good level of development, a four percentage point improvement on the previous year's performance; however, the area remains significantly below the Leeds average of 61.7 per cent. In terms of age related expectations at 11 (year 6 end of key stage 2) and 16 (year 11 end of key stage 4) the area remains below city averages, but performance significantly improved in 2015 compared to 2014. 71.7 per cent of the area's pupils achieved level 4 or above in reading writing and maths (62.5 per cent in 2014), and 38.5 per cent of those in year 11 gained five or more GCSEs at A*-C including English and maths (28.2 per cent in 2014).

81 per cent of primary schools in the area are rated good or better by Ofsted, up by five percentage points from the previous December. None of the area's secondary schools are currently rated good or better by Ofsted - in the previous December one school was rated good or better. These figures will not include new sponsored academies awaiting their first inspection.

Leeds attendance levels remained high for the 2014/15 academic year accepting they were, due to illness, marginally down on the previous year. Inner East levels are below the city average, and both phases show a declining performance from the previous year: Attendance for primary school pupils in the area was 95.0 per cent, down 0.7 percentage points; attendance for secondary school pupils was 92.5 per cent, down by one percentage point. Persistent absence (pupils missing at least 15 per cent of the school year - going forwards this will be pupils missing 10 per cent) in the area rose, by 70 pupils at primary schools (345, from 275), and by 133 at secondary schools (610, from 477) NB 2014/15 data includes half-term six; 13/14 does not. More than a quarter of the city's persistently absent pupils in the primary phase come from the Inner East, with 23 per cent of secondary pupils coming from the area.

The number of NEET young people 16-18 in the area rose modestly, by 29. Reassuringly, however, there was a strong reduction in the number of young people whose status is not known - down by 89. Both NEET and not known percentages remain above the city averages. The number of young people in the area committing at least one offence remained stable, but high (342, down from 344). These levels have been high for some time, whereas the city levels show a strong reduction. There is a higher concentration of 10-17 year-olds in the Inner East than any other area in the city, and most crime is acquisitive, and committed locally.

All children and young people are safe from harm	Data period	Leeds	Inner East			Highest	Lowest
			Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
Number of children looked after	31 Dec 2015	1,260	322	372	↓	322	14
Percentage of children looked after cohort from community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	25.6%	28.4%	↓	25.6%	1.1%
Number of children entering care	Apr 15-Dec 15	274	69	96	↓	69	5
Number of children subject to a child protection plan	31 Dec 2015	570	119	120	↓	119	12
Percentage of child protection cohort in community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	20.9%	18.7%	↑	20.9%	2.1%
Number of contacts received by the Duty and Advice Team	Apr 15-Dec 15	15,663	3,416	3,227	↑	3,416	439
Number of contacts leading to a referral	Apr 15-Dec 15	8,119	1,909	2,029	↓	1,909	187

All children and young people do well at all levels of learning and have the skills for life	Data period	Leeds	Inner East			Highest	Lowest
			Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
Primary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	96.1%	95.0%	95.7%	↓	97.1%	95.0%
Secondary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	94.3%	92.5%	93.5%	↓	95.8%	92.5%
Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	1,270	345	275	↑	345	35
Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2,613	610	477	↑	610	61
Number of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	1,437	364	335	↑	364	38
Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	6.5%	11.5%	10.8%	↑	11.5%	2.6%
Number of 'not knows'	31 Dec 2015	857	127	216	↓	127	40
Percentage of 'not knows'	31 Dec 2015	3.8%	4.0%	6.9%	↓	4.3%	2.3%
Foundation Stage good level of development	2014/15 AY	61.7%	50.3%	46.5%	↑	77.2%	49.2%
Key Stage 2: level 4+ reading, writing, and maths	2014/15 AY	78.0%	71.7%	62.5%	↑	88.2%	70.1%
Key Stage 4: 5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths	2014/15 AY	55.5%	38.5%	28.2%	↑	71.7%	38.5%

All children and young people are active citizens who feel they have a voice and influence	Data period	Leeds	Inner East			Highest	Lowest
			Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
10-17 year olds committing an offence	2015 cal year	507	342	344	↓	342	6

Ofsted inspections (Published inspections on the Ofsted website at data period date)	Data period	Leeds	Inner East			Highest	Lowest
			Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
Percentage of primary schools good or better	31 Dec 2015	92%	81%	76%	↑	100%	80%
Percentage of secondary schools good or better (inc through schools)	31 Dec 2015	75%	0%	25%	↓	100%	0%
Percentage of children's centres good or better	31 Dec 2015	78%	78%	55%	↑	100%	57%
Percentage of children's homes good or better	31 Dec 2015	70%	100%	100%	↔	100%	0%

Select ward from pulldown menu:

Burmantofts and Richmond Hill



Use the filtered columns in the data table to change the display order of community committees or wards. You can also filter indicators (low to high or high to low).
Instances of fewer than five are suppressed to preserve confidentiality.

Data		Good performance is...															
Community Committee	Ward	Low		Low		Low		High		Low		Low		High		High	
		Time period	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2015	2015	2015
		Number of children looked after ¹	Percentage of children looked after cohort in ward ¹	Number of children subject to a child protection plan	Percentage of child protection cohort in ward	Primary school attendance levels ²	Secondary school attendance levels ²	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school ²	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school ²	Number of NEET young people (adjusted) ³	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted) ³	Number of not known ³	Percentage of not known ³	Foundation Stage good level of development ⁴	Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths ⁴	5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths ⁴	
IE	Burmantofts and Richmond Hill	122	9.7%	33	5.8%	95.1%	92.7%	129	170	124	13.8%	41	4.5%	47.4%	65.5%	34.8%	
IE	Gipton and Harehills	130	10.3%	36	6.3%	94.7%	93.1%	139	211	143	11.5%	48	3.8%	50.6%	71.0%	41.5%	
IE	Killingbeck and Seacroft	70	5.6%	50	8.8%	95.4%	91.5%	77	229	97	9.5%	38	3.7%	53.7%	78.7%	38.2%	
INE	Chapel Allerton	42	3.3%	20	3.5%	95.9%	94.1%	49	93	54	7.0%	27	3.5%	55.3%	72.0%	49.2%	
INE	Roundhay	9	0.7%	<5	n/a	96.4%	95.6%	27	51	31	3.5%	15	1.7%	70.8%	82.8%	67.3%	
INW	Headingley	13	1.0%	5	0.9%	95.8%	93.5%	<5	17	15	10.1%	6	4.1%	56.9%	73.5%	52.8%	
INW	Hyde Park and Woodhouse	33	2.6%	22	3.9%	96.0%	94.0%	28	35	29	9.7%	21	7.0%	52.9%	71.6%	40.0%	
INW	Weetwood	22	1.7%	10	1.8%	96.6%	95.0%	18	44	28	4.8%	18	3.1%	67.9%	80.9%	62.4%	
IS	Beeston and Holbeck	75	6.0%	19	3.3%	95.9%	94.5%	55	83	67	9.1%	36	4.8%	48.5%	65.6%	45.8%	
IS	City and Hunslet	91	7.2%	34	6.0%	95.6%	93.4%	51	92	79	12.6%	30	4.7%	45.6%	71.2%	34.0%	
IS	Middleton Park	110	8.7%	55	9.6%	95.9%	93.8%	61	125	83	8.3%	28	2.8%	52.3%	72.7%	39.2%	
IW	Armley	67	5.3%	14	2.5%	95.9%	93.2%	56	118	89	12.0%	21	2.8%	47.8%	72.6%	35.5%	
IW	Bramley and Stanningley	46	3.7%	25	4.4%	95.8%	92.5%	40	138	71	9.1%	18	2.3%	54.4%	69.1%	46.4%	
IW	Kirkstall	32	2.5%	24	4.2%	96.0%	93.0%	34	91	48	8.6%	25	4.4%	65.7%	76.1%	40.1%	
OE	Cross Gates and Whinmoor	40	3.2%	12	2.1%	95.8%	92.9%	38	109	36	5.0%	18	2.5%	67.6%	77.3%	55.5%	
OE	Garforth and Swillington	<5	n/a	10	1.8%	96.7%	96.1%	12	27	13	1.9%	14	2.1%	65.5%	82.1%	74.8%	
OE	Kippax and Methley	11	0.9%	0	0.0%	96.5%	94.6%	24	61	24	3.8%	18	2.8%	71.4%	75.8%	63.7%	
OE	Temple Newsam	32	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.0%	94.4%	38	86	45	6.2%	15	2.0%	61.1%	75.0%	52.8%	
ONE	Alwoodley	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.7%	95.9%	15	24	19	3.9%	14	2.8%	69.3%	85.8%	75.0%	
ONE	Harewood	<5	n/a	0	0.0%	97.0%	95.8%	13	15	8	2.1%	11	2.8%	80.5%	88.9%	73.7%	
ONE	Wetherby	<5	n/a	8	1.4%	97.4%	95.5%	7	22	11	3.3%	15	4.4%	76.5%	90.2%	65.2%	
ONW	Adel and Wharfedale	6	0.5%	<5	n/a	96.9%	96.0%	10	18	9	1.9%	26	5.5%	80.9%	86.1%	72.3%	
ONW	Guiseley and Rawdon	12	1.0%	12	2.1%	97.1%	95.3%	19	74	22	2.9%	21	2.7%	80.1%	84.1%	67.7%	
ONW	Horsforth	14	1.1%	5	0.9%	97.3%	95.7%	11	33	8	1.3%	15	2.4%	76.9%	83.7%	75.4%	
ONW	Otley and Yeadon	9	0.7%	9	1.6%	96.8%	94.5%	14	62	26	4.2%	18	2.9%	59.9%	85.7%	67.0%	
OS	Ardsley and Robin Hood	8	0.6%	13	2.3%	96.1%	96.4%	30	33	20	2.9%	17	2.5%	65.8%	81.2%	69.4%	
OS	Morley North	13	1.0%	18	3.2%	96.4%	96.1%	26	27	28	4.3%	25	3.8%	64.1%	80.2%	63.6%	
OS	Morley South	31	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.1%	95.9%	34	35	31	4.7%	21	3.2%	65.8%	88.0%	68.7%	
OS	Rothwell	7	0.6%	15	2.6%	96.2%	95.0%	26	76	24	3.5%	26	3.8%	68.7%	76.8%	65.9%	
OW	Calverley and Farsley	6	0.5%	11	1.9%	96.4%	94.9%	26	54	13	2.2%	12	2.1%	67.2%	81.7%	65.6%	
OW	Farnley and Wortley	43	3.4%	9	1.6%	96.0%	94.6%	52	87	83	10.1%	22	2.7%	51.4%	75.3%	52.7%	
OW	Moortown	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.8%	95.8%	20	34	23	3.8%	11	1.8%	71.9%	89.2%	68.0%	
OW	Pudsey	25	2.0%	7	1.2%	96.1%	94.5%	37	73	33	4.2%	30	3.8%	67.7%	81.9%	56.0%	